

DCP 332 & 333 Working Group Meeting 02

04 February 2019 at 10:00

Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Andrew Enzor [AE]	NPG
Chris Barker [CB]	BU-UK
Claire Campbell [CC]	SPEN
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
Karl Maryon [KM]	Haven Power
Kathryn Evans [KE]	SP Energy
Lee Wells [LW]	NPG
Matt Shore [MS]	UKPN
Paul Duffus [PD]	WPD
Rebecca Cailes [RC]	BU-UK
Terry Carr [TC]	EON
Observers	
David McCrone [DM]	Ofgem
Paul Guillon [PG]	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
Hollie Nicholls [HN] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Huw Neyroud [HN1] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 15 January, and the Working Group agreed that these were a true reflection of the discussions held.

2. Purpose of the meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review and the draft consultation document and agree the next steps.

3. Review of DCP 332 and DCP 333 Consultation Document

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the consultation document and an updated version can be found as attachment 1.
- 3.2 One Working Group member questioned whether any of the appointed Suppliers of Last Resort are not expected to make Last Resort Supplier Claims. Ofgem highlighted that Suppliers are able to waive their right to make the claim, however, it could not be confirmed whether this would be the case or not.
- 3.3 When reviewing the consultation questions, the Working group agreed to remove question 7 regarding the need to complete an RFI as the consensus was this was unnecessary. The other questions would be reviewed once the document was updated.
- 3.4 The Working Group also noticed that the implementation date for DCP 333 was different in the body of the text to the date stated in the question. It was agreed that the question should be updated to reflect the correct date.
- 3.5 The Proposer noted that these CPs will require amendments to be made to the CDCM and therefore, there will be a requirement to get modelling completed by CEPA/TNEI. It was agreed that this should be completed as soon as possible so that the impacts can be included within the consultation document.
- 3.6 The Working Group agreed that it would be inefficient to ask CEPA/TNEI to complete eight models for each of the solution options and therefore agreed that they should progress Option D for DCP 332 and Option C and Option D for DCP 333. The Secretariat agreed to draft a modelling specification document and forward to the Working Group for approval.

ACTION 02/01: The Secretariat to draft a Modelling Specification document circulate to the Working Group for review.

- 3.7 The Proposer agreed to update the consultation document to streamline the solutions section and implementation section of the draft consultation document. One Working Group member believed that the consultation needed to be explicit in why the Working Group had not progressed the other two options to ensure clarity for the reader. The Working Group also agreed that the legal text should be updated to reflect the discussions held.

Action 02/02: The Proposer to update the draft consultation document to streamline the solution section and update the implementation section. The Legal text will also be updated reflect this.

- 3.8 The Working Group also discussed the potential issues of DNOs needing to amend the 2020/21 charges that have already been published if the materiality threshold is breached. It was agreed that this issue should be clearly included within the consultation document and the legal text will need carefully drafting, potentially using legal advice from the DCUSA Legal Advisors.

4. Work Plan and Next Steps

- 4.1 The Working Group agreed the next steps as follows:

- The Secretariat to update the draft consultation document to reflect the discussion held;
- LW to circulate the live examples of the solutions to Working group members (as per action 01/02);
- The Proposer to update the solution and implementation sections of the consultation document;
- The Secretariat to draft a Modelling Specification document for review;
- The updated draft consultation document to be circulated to Working Group members on the 11th of February 2019; and
- A placeholder in the diary for 14 March 2019, if it is needed to discuss the results from CEPA/TNEI.

- 4.2 The DCP 332 and DCP 333 work plan has been updated to reflect the next steps for the change and can be found as Attachment 2.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 There were no items of AOB, and the Chair closed the meeting.

6. Date of Next Meeting: 14 March 2019

- 6.1 The Working Group agreed that the next Working Group meeting will be held on 14 March 2019 at 10am to review the consultation document.

7. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 332 & DCP 333 Consultation 0.2
-

- Attachment 2 – DCP 332/333 Updated Work Plan

New and Outstanding Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
02/01	The Secretariat to draft a Modelling Specification document circulate to the Working Group for review.	ElectraLink	Completed – Circulated with the Draft Minutes
02/02	The Proposer to update the draft consultation document to streamline the solution section and update the implementation section. The Legal text will also be updated reflect this.	AE/LW	Completed – Circulated with the Draft Minutes

Closed Actions DCP 332/333

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	LW to provide the Working Group with a high-level guidance document for SoLR process	Lee Wells	Completed
01/02	LW to provide a live example of the solution and how this would work with the LDNO discounts.	Lee Wells	Completed Post meeting
01/03	The Secretariat to draft a consultation document and circulate to Working Group members by 29 January 2019.	ElectraLink	Completed